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community, and this become of a great support to
teachers. Using this tool is increasing common
among humans; hundreds of thousands of users
worldwide have experienced the use of Facebook as a
tool for the development of social communities. The
impact of social networks like Facebook or Twitter is
growing stronger worldwide. However, to think about
the role of teachers in our time, it would be possible
from a contextualization: insert the teacher's role in
the context of the knowledge society.

Endless are the number of hours, news, energy
that is now being used by the online social networks
(hereafter OSN), adolescents being one of the main
social groups that depend on these systems to com-
municate with their peer group and acquaintances.
But how can it is possible to work with a tool that has
many friends, messages, photos, videos! Which sup-
tpose too many distracting to the students, and would
be contrary to achieve the objective sought. Some of
the research considered in this paper study is Face-
bok as a tool for college students with positive re-
sults. But for teenage students, is responsible enough
to use the educational online social networks? Or to
use these environments, the student, must be taken
out from the OSNs that use commonly, and use oth-
ers where there are no many elements that make the
students lose concentration while they are performing
their tasks.

The initial hypothesis to be validated: social net-
works can serve as an educational tool, acting as a
motivator and enabler of social capital in education
during adolescence (age range 12 to 18 years, with
reference to the Spanish system, named as compulso-
y secondary education “E.S.O”). The paper is or-
ganized in five sections: Social networking in edu-
cation, the analysis of one of the major educational
OSNs, the field work which discusses the use of the
OSNs by students, Tweacher application, and it ends
with conclusions and future work.

2 Social Networks within
Education

This section introduces the basic concepts of the
OSN, a series of research on its application in educa-
tion, and the beginnings of the union of these two
fields.

2.1 OnLine Social Networks

According to Danah Boyd [Boyd, D. et al. 2007],
OSNs are Websites that give users a range of services
based on Web technologies that allow individuals to:
build a public or semi-public profile with relation-
ships system, to have a list of other users with whom
they share a connection, and finally, view and navig-
ate through the list of users' connections with those
who share a connection in the system. The shape and
nomenclature of the connections listed above vary
from one social network to another. What makes the
OSNs unique is because they make possible for users
to manage and make visible their own social network,
not because they allow users to meet others in the
network. Normally connections on OSNs are between
individuals who have “latent ties”, Haythornthwaite
[Haythornthwaite, C., 2002], and that have some off-
line connection. In many OSN, users are not looking
to expand their network of contacts (such as LinkedIn
[LinkedIn, 2012]), but they communicate with people
they already knew prior to their entry into this OSN.

The most widespread and used features by OSNs
users are: uploading and sharing photos and videos,
comments on other profiles, friends and private mes-
sages between users. Users of these sites also share a
number of documents and communicate with each
other.

2.2 Computer applications for
learning support

Arguably, the first steps of social networks in edu-
cation correspond to Moodle [Moodle, 2012], at
least in terms of the widespread use of the platform.
Moodle is a project designed to support a social con-
structionist framework of education. It is distributed
as free software (GNU). Moodle is copyrighted, but
user can copy, use and modify Moodle if they agree
to distribute the source code to others, without rem-
oving the original license and copyrights. The de-
development and design of Moodle is based on an ed-
ucational philosophy called "social constructionist
pedagogy”. Moodle can be considered as one of the
first OSN focused on education, because it has one of
the OSN main features.

Some basic questions that the Web Systems share
with education is: Who are the students? What stu-
dent's intentions and behaviours will be supported by
the system? What devices students will use? E-
Learning platforms solve these questions based on five different aspects: purpose, use, content, functionality and presentation. Based on the information taken from [Fardoun, H. 2011], in the following sections, Edmodo [Edmodo, 2012] is discussed.

Moreover, in [Fardoun, H. 2011], we found comparison of the educational and technical aspects of the main electronic learning platforms: Blackboard Academic Suite 8.0, Claroline 1.8.1, Ecollege, WebStudy Course Management System, Atutor 1.5.4, Moodle 1.9, and JoomlaLMS. They compare various aspects like: productivity, communication, participation of students, administration, content development, licensing, and the required hardware and software. Highlighting after such detailed analysis the communication and motivation as key factors in the student learning process, therefore the student should not be or feel isolated. Finally the authors sort the platforms in two types: Those that are not attractive for most users, but at the same time they are fully developed and have most of the functionality needed by teachers and students. Those that are highly attractive, but do not provide a variety of services.

3 Edmodo Analyses

This section discusses the online social network tool Edmodo [6], an educative social network. The following we describe its implemented functionality, the non-permitted and weaknesses points. Based on the presented aspects in the sub-section 2.2, the purpose of this tool is the informal education, and to be used as an educational system and its contents are usually related to different subjects of the students.

3.1 Edmodo Main Features

In this sub-section we analyse the main features, which are available on the Edmodo platform. It will be discussed some specific functions of communication, organization, file sharing and educational tasks.

The initial interface that the tool offers for teacher and students is very similar, but with some extra functionality in the teacher side, like: The first action offered by the tool for teachers is to create the class groups, as it is required. Each group has a number of options that can be managed, if the user has a teacher role. The teacher can view the group members (students and teachers), he can archive and / or delete a group if it is necessary. From the public view, we may highlight that the teacher can decide the comments to be shared with people who are from outside of a specific group.

In terms of communication that is performed by using a board, the teacher could present it, to an entire group or as a private individual for each student. The teacher has four types of communication: (1) messages, (2) alerts, (3) assignment (or a task which can be rated later) and (4) vote. It is possible to add to each communication element: a file, a link (URL) or an existent item from the digital library. It has a section called "Who?" Where users can send messages in deferent ways to users: individual (private), students group, teachers and parents.

In the student side, the communication options are more limited than those of teacher, where they only have the option message, and they can only communicate in two ways: (1) with the entire class in public way or (2) in private way with the teacher.

Both teachers and students have access to a calendar, depending on the classes they teach, and the students to the classes to which they have joined where also they can view the deliverables or dates set by the teachers. These management features convert the Edmodo tool in a great tool for organizing and planning.

For storing and sharing files, there are two points of view in the Edmodo platform: The teacher view, where he can share folders with material for one or more of his classes, and the student view, with a space of 100 MB, to store his files and/or class assignments.

Finally, from the user profile, other users can see (if they are connected to him): public activity, connections with teachers (if the user has a teacher role) their colleagues, besides seeing there school and classes that they manage or in which they participate.

3.2 Weaknesses and not allowed Features

This section describes the unpermitted or unimplemented functionalities within the platform and its weaknesses. Studding this information is helping us in the implementation process of our own OSN tool, which we called Tweacher. Tweacher is an OSN for educational purposes whose target audience is very similar to Edmodo. The weaknesses and the not allowed features we discover in Edmodo are:
• It has no option to send private messages between students, avoid forgetfulness, communication between students occurs globally.
• In the communication part, it has not implemented a chat tool. While, many other social networks (like Facebook, Tuenti, and Myspace) implement a kind of chat area for users.
• It does not work with photo albums and tags like other social networks. It works with generic file type, and do not allow the action of tagging them.
• It does not implement any kind of page in which the user can see the subject structure (index).
• Edmodo structure facilitates informal education; however, the order of the content of the courses and materials is not entirely clear.
• The functionality backpack, where students can save files that cannot be accessed by teachers, can be a weak point, since students could use it to save improper files.

4 Fieldwork: Using On-Line Social Networks by Students

This section presents a field study, which reflects the big use of the new technologies and social networks by high school students. Also, it highlights a set of advantages for their application in teaching. The field study is focused on Tuenti [Tuenti, 2012] and Facebook. First, we present the results obtained through an anonymous questionnaire given at three centres of the community Castilla-La Mancha, Spain (two secondary and one primary education centres). Next, we will discuss the main findings of this field study conducted during the last two academic years and applied over 425 students (381 secondary education and 64 of primary one).

4.1 Anonymous questionnaires on the use of social networks

We address first the results in secondary education talks (12 to 18 years, questionnaires to students from 1º to 4º of the E.S.O):

In the academic year 2009 / 2010, students carried out 282 questionnaires. The result was that, 88% of students use online social network Tuenti, taking into consideration that according to Spanish law it is illegal for children less than 14 years to use it. Well, the surveys were conducted at the beginning of 2010, so the students were born in 1996 and 1997 (which are 44.7% of respondents). Those are violating the terms of use of this tool, because they are minors, in particular 86.7% are registered on the social network Tuenti.

Given that 88% of the students use the Tuenti social network, from this percentage we extracted other interesting facts like: The average number of "friends" is 198.9 in the profile of each student. The average time spent connected to the Tuenti social network is 1 hour and a half per day. With regard to the social network Facebook, we have: 43.4% of respondents are registered in this social network.

We can highlight 88% of respondents who use the social network Tuenti as 61% of them are connected to the social network for more than one year and 70% have more than 140 "friends".

17.2% recognized to consume more than 3 hours use per day (Fig. 1 left.). While when we refer to harassment, the data is not worrisome since 3% have felt bullied at some point. With regard to Facebook, 63% of the students are registered on it, and the average number of friends is smaller to the average number of
friends on Tuenti. The most alarming, and was not taken into consideration in the previous academic year’s study, is whether the parents are concerned about what their children are doing using the OSNs (Fig. 2 left). 55% respond positively to this question. This indicates that 45% of parents who are not interested and do not ask their children about the purpose behind using these new communication environments.

For primary education, the questionnaires were presented to 64 students in various lectures in 5º and 6º of the primary school, during the academic year 2011. We obtained the following results: 43.5% of students use Tuenti which suppose a high number, taking into consideration the prohibition that the Spanish authorities have with respect to this matter. On the other side, 52% of parents worry about what their children do in the OSN (Fig. 2 right), a slightly lower percentage compared to E.S.O parents. This slight difference would be probably because some of the children were not yet interested to register in OSNs. Statistics showed that 1.8% of respondents felt harassed using OSNs. And finally, we remark that the number of “friends” and hours of use per day is significantly lower than the results obtained in secondary education. Only 25% have more than 140 "friends" and 68% spend less than an hour online per day (Fig. 1 right).

We can say that, this trend of interaction through online social networks will continue in the future as the new generations make a high use of these networks because of being already an important part of their lives.

4.2 Analysis of the anonymous questionnaires results on social networks

The reason for this analysis is that, in many cases where students do not have online social networks are because of the parents’ controls, and the Spanish government that does not allow access to such services for citizens less than 14 years.

After analyzing this data, we can say that it is obvious where adolescents spend their time and what habits they have. Therefore, using this tool, as an educational, by students who know in depth and make use of their free time, can raise the motivation levels with respect to certain subjects. Although the learning curve of using the educational tools will be very quick, it would not reach the common social networks’ level.

Could positive academic results be obtained through online social networks? The answer is yes, demonstrated by the results of the research presented next. In [Yan Yu, A. 2010] research the impact of individual use of these online social networks from an educational point of view. This paper takes into consideration two processes of socialization such as: social acceptance and cultural adaptation, showing that an online social network in these processes help positively influence to academic outcomes. Thereby, it demonstrated the positive influence at the university level. So, obtaining positive results at the level of secondary education is one of the objectives of this work, considering that at this age, students need more control (from parents and teachers) than that at the college age.

4.3 Edmodo in a real environment

For this study we have worked with a group of 20 students in the “information technology and communication” subject of 1 bachelor's degree, and 38 students divided into two classes of 4º E.S.O in the “computer” subject during the months of February
and March 2011. With respect to 1 bachelor's degree we highlight the following: We have dedicated and skilled jobs through the platform, there have been an informal communication between student and teacher and student-student. It was also proposed sharing of current information with the rest of the group. The study has been very successful. It was offered to teachers of 1 bachelor's degree the option of working with students using Edmodo. Only 14.3% seemed interested and responded positively (a possible weakness of this type of platform, teachers who feel unprepared or interested).

We conducted a survey with students obtaining the following data: Are OSNs interesting to use for educational purposes? 68.75% answered yes, 25% No and 6.25% indifferent. Would you like to work with Edmodo in other subjects? 66.7% said yes, 33.3% indifferent and 0% No. At the end, we highlight three data: 95% of students have participated in Edmodo, 70% actively and continuously (at least one publication a week), and 50% of students have used the platform in non-lecture hours.

The results obtained during 4º of the E.S.O haven't being as good as 1 bachelor degree, because its use by students was not as expected and their collaboration was proved to be too far below expectations. While bachelor students add news of every kind and discuss with them mates about them in an educate way, E.S.O. students just add contents to the wall of Edmodo when the professor have asked for it. Seeing the data of the sub-section 4.1 of this article the low participation in E.S.O. students was unexpected, ¿Which is the main problem? The difference in age is just one year; E.S.O. is compulsory education while bachelor isn't, differences between social groups and their behaviour as groups ¿could be them some explanations? The unique way to resolve this question is by doing more field-research.

As a consequence of this field-research this year five professors more are using Edmodo at their classes, even with the results of E.S.O. students want new tools during their lessons. In this process we are learning how to teach using these new platforms that the research in e-Learning gives us.

4.4 Others High Schools at a click of distance

In addition to what mentioned in the previous section Edmodo work between two classes of 1 bachelor degree in two different high schools (of Castilla-La Mancha). A new Edmodo group was created and it was supervised by two teachers in charge (one of each high school) established a set of rules of good use, otherwise teachers can use the option “reader” for students with bad behavior. The group was of 41 students in the “information technology and communication” subject of 1 bachelor's degree. They were allowed to name the group to feel unit holders. And the main use was to share news and comment on technology among students of both high schools. The high school students who had been working longer with Edmodo were more active while their counterparts from the other school they were less (with certain exceptions).

Perhaps this extra motivation of being able to interact with peers from another part of his country was what allowed us to obtain better results with bachelor students.

5 Tweacher: A Pilot Online Social Network for Education

This section will present Tweacher, a social application for educational use. It will starts from the concept of micro-blogging and will be added, functions of an Learning Management System (LMS), such as subscriptions to courses or subjects to perform management tasks for students, qualification of these, message board and course material, among others.

With the creation of this tool, we try to exploit the potential shown in the previous section of the article. Ultimately, Tweacher is a complementary platform that can be used as a tool in the educational process. In which we try to make a different approach to the one made by the creators of Edmodo [Edmodo, 2012].

5.1 Tweacher Architecture: Software and Hardware

The application architecture will have the model client / server, see Fig. 3.
For the function and operation of the present prototype, it was necessary: a Web Server and a Database. In this case, both servers are located on the same machine. The Web Server resolves the made requests, and interpret the code for the generation of Web pages that belongs to the application. The database server has all the tables and the relationships between them, which will be linked with the application to manage the participants, groups, micro-posts and tasks, etc.

We have three types of users involved in the system, which is consistent with the existing application roles: administrator, teacher and student. These may be connected to the system via the Internet, making requests to the server, and this in turn is connected to the database, as needed, to solve some of these requests.

For the implementation of the prototype, an ASP.NET software technology has been used, from Microsoft with C# language, to create dynamic Web sites. The database was created using SQL Server 2010. In Fig. 4 we can see the system that composes the software architecture of the prototype, which in turn is divided into sub-systems.

Tweacher is divided into the following sub-systems:

- **Home**: manages the login and user registration. It is a requirement to be registered and logged in, to start using the application.
- **User**: manages the user’s personal information, giving this option to modify your profile.
- **Messages**: manages private messages between users. Users have the option to create a new message, or to consult those he has sent in his inbox.
- **Groups**: is the largest subsystem, for being the backbone of Tweacher. For each of the groups the user has a timeline or temporary messages, which he can view. In addition, all users will be able to view the participants, the board and the messages to which the user has attached a file.
  
Another option is to change the current group in which he is belongs to. This subsystem also manages to create a new group by a teacher or administrator, to introduce users to the new group (if they have previously requested access) and configure it.

---

**Fig. 3. Tweacher Hardware Architecture**

**Fig. 4. Tweacher Software Architecture**
• **Tasks:** manages the tasks of a group and everything connected with it, starting with its creation, delivery and display.

5.2 Prototype and Key Features

The prototype is designed following an iterative incremental development, focusing on the user interface, according to the initial requirements that were raised, and have been refined in later phases, not only in terms of interface, but also in functionality and User Centered Design [Fardoun, H. et al. 2011b] [Fardoun, H. et al. 2012]. In the first iteration of the prototype stage, we performed a navigation prototype on paper, which served as a starting point in the design.

In the second iteration we created a low-fidelity prototype, a beta (Tweacher 1.0), which gives us overall information of the application, without going into too much detail. In the prototype shown with GUILayout tool [Blankenhorn K., 2004], a model simulating is done with pencil and paper. It gives us information, in first instance; of the containers that we use and which part will be placed on them in the main screen.

Fig. 5. shows the initial screen after the third and final iteration:

![Tweacher Initial Page](image)

Figure 5.3 shows the zone of a student, who is using Tweacher. Next we details each of the main parts:

On the right side of the head we find the user account control area, where it has access to the user profile settings, messages and the end of the session.

In the left part of the Figure their are two different parts:

- First, a top menu, depends of the group to which the user belongs, he can view the participants’ list to which he can access: the board, the group of messages with attachments, tasks and configuration of the list (in this case he should be the owner). At this stage of the design, we introduced the "Board and attachment" element in the group.
- On the other hand, the body changing varies depending on what is selected in the top menu. In this case, the user sees the timeline of the group and the message area where he can post his messages, as to attach a file if the user wishes.
- As with any Simple Notification Service (SNS), the application notifies the prompts regarding the recent activity, since the user last access. These alerts include: new messages, new tasks and new access requests.

The “Groups” section indicates the groups to which the user belongs. The user can click one of the groups to change his timeline from the current one, to the one he select. Later, the user can view the participants, the board, and configuration tasks for it (if he is the creator).

The last element common is: “Request access to group”, where both the student and the teacher can perform it. The teacher has more options, these are:

- Create a new group and become its manager.
- Check access requests to accept or reject them.
- Create a new task in the groups in which he is the creator.

5.3 Tweacher Test

At the beginning of the third iteration, during the design and implementation phases of the prototype, an evaluation process was performed with real users, to ensure the user-centered design of the application.

The evaluation was performed for the first 11 Bachelor students, of a secondary center of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain, who previously have used Edmodo in their classrooms. The evaluation method was chosen through surveys, which reflect the degree of agreement on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being “less agree” and 5 represents “most agree”. The students performed tasks that included: Making a record in the application, apply for membership in a group, write messages on the timeline of a group, check the remaining tasks, see the private messages and logging.

And some of the results were: Overall, students believe that the difficulty of creating new user is low.
Students found the tool intuitive and easy. 90% of students believe that this application serves as a support tool in learning. Which make us; therefore, conclude that the development of the tests was positive.

6 Conclusions

This work is a study done for the implementation of a tool similar to Edmodo, whose name is Tweacher (Twitter + Teacher). In this study, we try to cover the weaknesses found in the previous tools (sub-section 3.2) and add extra functionality to improve the teaching-learning process through such tools.

With the presented data in section four, we can say that the use of OSNs in educational environment can be positive. And for its implementation as an educational tool, it takes time and especially for its involvement by the faculty.

We can conclude then that Tweacher might be an option as a new educative social network to be used in the classroom, in our case trying to improve tools previously studied and worked as Edmodo.

A possible future improvement could be by establishing the use of counters to warn parents and teachers about the overuse of the tool by students. We did not analyse the parental control features available on Edmodo. It would be interesting to analyse and make a formal proposal on the possible ways of control that parents may have.
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